

2/8



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,134	04/04/2001	David K. Vavro	INTL-0546-US (P11105)	2324
7590	11/02/2005			
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 KATY FWY, STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024-1805			EXAMINER MEONSKE, TONIA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary****Application No.**

09/826,134

**Applicant(s)**

VAVRO, DAVID K.

**Examiner**

Tonia L. Meonske

**Art Unit**

2181

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ishida et al., US Patent 5,293,500 (hereinafter Ishida).

3. Referring to claim 1, Ishida has taught a method comprising:

- a. providing a register accessible by a plurality of central processing units (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, Figure 9, element 506); and
- b. indicating whether data in said register is available for a given central processing unit by providing different indicators assigned to each of a plurality of central processing units (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, Figure 9, element 108, column 7, lines 55-64, column 8, line 64-column 9, line 25, column 9, lines 51-67, Available signals are sent to the assigned processing units which indicate that there is not a register contention.

A register contention is indicated by the scoreboard bits and the vacancy indicators assigned to each specific processing unit, or functional unit.) and enabling the given central processing unit to reset its indicator when the data in said register is no longer useful to the given central processing unit (column 9, line 51-column 10, line 2, When each functional unit is finished processing data, then the data is no longer useful. Then the functional unit indicates that there is a vacancy.).

Art Unit: 2181

4. Referring to claim 2, Ishida has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and including indicating for each of a plurality of central processing unit whether the data is available for a given central processing unit (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13).
5. Referring to claim 3, Ishida has taught the method of claim 2, as described above, and including requiring a central processing unit to wait to execute an instruction until the data it needs to execute the instruction is available in one or more registers (column 8, lines 39-44).
6. Referring to claim 4, Ishida has taught the method of claim 3, as described above, and including providing a bit for each item of data indicating whether a given central processing unit can access that data (column 4, lines 18-25).
7. Referring to claim 5, Ishida has taught the method of claim 4, as described above, and including resetting said bit when said data is accessed by a given central processing unit (column 4, lines 18-25).
8. Referring to claim 6, Ishida has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and including preventing any central processing unit from writing data to said register until all of the indicators for the plurality of central processing units indicate that the data is no longer useful to any other central processing unit (column 4, line 9-column 6, line 66, Data is prevented from being written to a register when a higher priority instruction is reading/writing from the register.).
9. Referring to claim 7, Ishida has taught the method of claim 6, as described above, and including indicating the central processing unit which will utilize the data written into the register (column 4, line 9-column 6, line 66).

Art Unit: 2181

10. Referring to claim 8, Ishida has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and includes enabling a plurality of central processing units to access a register at the same time (column 6, lines 26-32).

11. Referring to claim 9, Ishida has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and including providing specialized central processing units for mathematical operations and for memory (column 7, lines 23-36, column 3, lines 14-29).

12. Referring to claim 10, Ishida has taught the method of claim 1, as described above, and including providing an input central processing unit (Figures 1-13, All of the processors input data.), an output central processing unit (Figures 1-13, All of the processor output data.) and coupling said input, output and specialized central processing units to said register through a cross-bar connection (Figures 1-13, All of the processors have the ability to send data to one another through the register files using a priority scoreboard.).

13. Claims 11-17 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-7, respectively, and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-7 above.

14. Referring to claim 18, Ishida has taught a digital signal processor including:

- a. a plurality of central processing units (figure 2, elements 11 and 15, Figures 3 and 4, elements 11 and 15, figure 6, elements 59 and 60, Figure 9, elements 106 and 107, etc.); and
- b. a first register coupled to said plurality of central processing units, said register including a plurality of general purpose second registers each accessible by said plurality of processing units (Registers in the register files have associated scoreboard bit registers.

Art Unit: 2181

abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, elements 12, 13, 74, and 506), at least one of said second registers indicating whether data in said first register is available for a given one of said plurality of central processing units (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, elements 14, 63, 108, and 507, The scoreboard bits indicate the availability of the registers to each functional unit.).

15. Claims 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 10, 12, 3, 4, and 6, respectively, and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 10, 12, 3, 4, and 6 above.

16. Referring to claim 24, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 18, as described above, and including a plurality of general purpose registers, each of said general purpose registers including a data section (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, elements 12, 13, 74, and 506) and a storage area for a bit for each of said plurality of processing units (abstract, column 2, lines 3-23, Figures 1-13, elements 14, 63, 108, and 507, column 4, lines 18-25).

17. Referring to claim 25, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 18, as described above, and wherein said general purpose register is accessible by each of said processing units at the same time (column 6, lines 26-32).

18. Claim 26 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 19 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 19 above.

19. Referring to claim 27, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 26, as described above, and further including at least one multiply (column 1, lines 22-38) and accumulate (column 1, lines 22-38, column 7, lines 24-36) processing unit.

20. Referring to claim 28, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 27, as described above, and including at least one processing element for storing data in a random access memory (column 4, line 46-column 6, line 67).

21. Referring to claim 29, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 18, as described above, and wherein no master processing element is included and instead, the sequence of operations in said digital signal processor is driven by the availability in a general purpose register of data needed to execute instructions (column 6, lines 13-67).

22. Referring to claim 30, Ishida has taught the processor of claim 18, as described above, and including a plurality of special purpose processing units that may each access a register at the same time (column 6, lines 26-32).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

23. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the newly applied ground(s) of rejection above.

#### ***Conclusion***

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

25. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2181

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L. Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, with every other Friday off.

27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272-4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

28. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

  
HENRY W. H. TSAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
10/31/05